

Noise-driven quantum criticality

J. Eisert¹ and T. Prosen^{1,2}

¹ *Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, 14476 Potsdam, Germany and*

² *Department of Physics, FMF, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*

We discuss a notion of quantum critical exponents in open quantum many-body systems driven by quantum noise. We show that in translationally invariant quantum lattice models undergoing quasi-local Markovian dissipative processes, mixed states emerge as stationary points that show scaling laws for the divergence of correlation lengths giving rise to well-defined critical exponents. The main new technical tool developed here is a complete description of steady states of free bosonic or fermionic translationally invariant systems driven by quantum noise: This approach allows to express all correlation properties in terms of a symbol, paralleling the Fisher-Hartwig theory used for ground state properties of free models. We discuss critical exponents arising in bosonic and fermionic models. Finally, we relate the findings to recent work on dissipative preparation of pure dark and matrix product states by Markovian noisy processes and sketch further perspectives.

Quantum phase transitions (QPT) and quantum criticality are among the most remarkable emergent features and properties of large quantum quantum lattice systems. Even if individual constituents directly interact only to their immediate neighbors, characteristic length scales associated with two-body correlation functions diverge at critical points. Critical phenomena are responsible for a sizable number of effects in quantum many-body physics [1, 2]. Specifically, if the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_0 = \sum_j \tau_j(h), \quad (1)$$

where h is a Hamiltonian term acting on a small number of sites only—usually nearest neighbors—and τ_j is the shift to the lattice site j , and V is another Hamiltonian of this form, then a system is critical at $g = g_c$ if the ground state energy of $H = H_0 + gV$ is non-analytical at g_c . In second order QPT this is accompanied with a divergence of correlation lengths giving rise to the familiar notion of a critical point in quantum many-body systems.

In this work, we quantitatively explore the possibility of scaling laws of correlation lengths of quantum many-body systems driven by quantum noise. The Hamiltonian will remain entirely unchanged, merely we add Markovian noise that acts quasi-locally, so either on single sites alone or on a finite number of neighbors. We will see that despite this local action of the dissipative dynamics, *mixed states* arise as steady states that show characteristic divergences of correlation lengths, even allowing for the meaningful definition of critical exponents. The observation that local noise alone can be used to prepare pure quantum many-body states exhibiting long-range order was made in the seminal work of Refs. [3–6]. Here, we go beyond that insight by developing a theory of critical exponents of (generically non-pure) non-equilibrium steady states of local dissipative systems. The main new technical tool will be a description of free translationally invariant bosonic and fermionic open quantum systems driven by Markovian quantum noise—paralleling the central role free models play in the common theory of QPT. This approach allows to express correlation functions of canonical coordinates in terms of a symbol reflecting a momentum space descrip-

tion, reminding of the so powerful Fisher-Hartwig formalism [7] for ground state properties.

Noise-driven critical exponents. As far as the Hamiltonians are concerned, we study the—in the theory of criticality—usual local quantum many-body systems on a lattice. The Hamiltonian can hence be written as in Eq. (1); this is nothing but the familiar general translationally invariant local lattice model. In addition to the local Hamiltonian, we allow for noisy processes merely *locally* affecting the quantum many body system. This noisy process is supposed to be Markovian. Hence, each site j is associated with a generator of form

$$\mathcal{L}_g(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu} (2L_{\mu}(g)\rho L_{\mu}(g)^{\dagger} - \{L_{\mu}(g)^{\dagger}L_{\mu}(g), \rho\}),$$

where g is a parameter in the many-body model. The Lindblad operators $\{L_{\mu}(g)\}$ in turn act merely on *finitely many sites*, typically on-site or nearest neighbors. It is key to this work that noisy processes with long-range interactions are excluded—and still we will find divergent correlation functions. The equations of motion of the state are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -i \sum_j [\tau_j(h), \rho] + \sum_j \tau_j(\mathcal{L}_g(\rho)) \quad (2)$$

where the summations (j) run over the d -dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For local observables $\{O_j\}$, where O_j is supported on site j only—again as usual—one considers correlation functions

$$f(j, k) = |\langle O_j O_k \rangle - \langle O_j \rangle \langle O_k \rangle| \quad (3)$$

and defines the *correlation length* as the length scale

$$\xi = - \lim_{|j-k| \rightarrow \infty} \log f(j, k) / |j - k|. \quad (4)$$

In sharp contrast to Hamiltonian standard descriptions, we now put into the center of attention the dependence of steady states in terms of the noise only, while keeping the Hamiltonian unchanged. As the main focus of this work, we will see that it makes sense to define a *critical value* g_c of this parameter, such that when approaching

$$g \rightarrow g_c \quad (5)$$

the correlation length will diverge. In fact, one can define a *noise-driven critical exponent* λ , by means of the asymptotic behavior of this correlation length

$$\xi^{-1} \sim \Lambda |g - g_c|^\lambda \quad (6)$$

for some $\Lambda > 0$. Note that while this parameter is defined as the critical exponent for critical phenomena in the usual sense, all divergences of correlation lengths are entirely driven by quantum noise in this open quantum system.

Free driven models. Centre stage will be taken by free models, so models where the Hamiltonian h is quadratic in bosonic or fermionic operators and $L_\mu(g)$ are linear. Bosonic and fermionic systems will be treated in an as parallel as possible fashion [8]. Bosonic operators $\{b_j\}$ associated with site j are accompanied by commutation relations, whereas fermionic operators $\{f_j\}$ anti-commute,

$$[b_j, b_k^\dagger] = \delta_{j,k}, \quad \{f_j, f_k^\dagger\} = \delta_{j,k}. \quad (7)$$

Suppose for a moment we ignore the translational invariance and consider a general quasi-free many body system defined on n sites, where the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ will be considered later. The canonical variables can be grouped into a $2n$ dimensional vector of positions and momenta for bosons $u = (u_{\nu,j}; \nu = 1, 2; 1 \leq j \leq n)$, where $u_{1,j} = b_j + b_j^\dagger$, $u_{2,j} = i(b_j - b_j^\dagger)$ and analogously of Majorana operators w for fermions with $w_{1,j} = f_j + f_j^\dagger$ and $w_{2,j} = i(f_j - f_j^\dagger)$. This convention, different from the usual one by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$, simplifies some of the later expressions.

We (i) assume the Hamiltonian (1) to be defined by a quadratic form, $H = u^T H_b u$, where $H_b = H_b^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$ for bosons, and $H = w^T H_f w$ where $H_f = -H_f^T \in \mathbb{C}^{2n \times 2n}$, purely imaginary, matrix for fermions. (ii) The Lindblad operators $L_\mu = l_\mu^T u$ ($L_\mu = l_\mu^T w$) are assumed to be linear in the coordinates and can be written in terms of $2n$ dimensional vectors l_μ , which can be grouped together into a Hermitian *bath matrix*

$$M = \sum_\mu l_\mu \otimes \bar{l}_\mu, \quad (8)$$

$M \in \mathbb{C}^{2n \times 2n}$, having a symmetric or anti-symmetric real or imaginary part, $M_r = (M + \bar{M})/2 = M_r^T$ and $M_i = -i(M - \bar{M})/2 = -M_i^T$, respectively. The elementary two-point correlations are gathered in a Hermitian covariance matrix, being a real, symmetric matrix for bosons $\gamma_b \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$,

$$(\gamma_b)_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \rho(u_j u_k + u_k u_j) = \text{Re tr}(\rho u_j u_k),$$

and a real anti-symmetric matrix for fermions $\gamma_f \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$,

$$(\gamma_f)_{j,k} = \frac{i}{2} \text{tr} \rho(w_j w_k - w_k w_j) = -\text{Im tr}(\rho w_j w_k).$$

Obviously, if one adds terms linear in bosonic operators to the Hamiltonian (fermionic first moments are always vanishing), then one should subtract the possibly non-vanishing mean

values in the definition of the covariances. Defining a symplectic unit matrix $\sigma = i\sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{1}_n$, the bosonic covariances satisfy the uncertainty relations in the form $\gamma_b + i\sigma \geq 0$, whereas an analogous relation for the fermionic covariances reads $\gamma_f^2 + \mathbb{1}_{2n} \geq 0$.

From the equations of motion of the canonical coordinates or the Majorana operators in the Heisenberg picture, one finds after a tedious but straightforward computation that the covariance matrix satisfies a closed set of equations of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_\eta = X_\eta^T \gamma_\eta + \gamma_\eta X_\eta - Y_\eta, \quad \eta = b, f, \quad (9)$$

taking the same form for bosons and fermions. The specific matrices governing this equation of motion are given by

$$X_b = \sigma(2H_b + 2M_i), \quad Y_b = 4\sigma^T M_r \sigma = Y_b^T \quad (10)$$

for bosons and

$$X_f = -2iH_f + 2M_r, \quad Y_f = 4M_i = -Y_f^T, \quad (11)$$

for fermions, where $X_b, X_f, Y_b, Y_f \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$. Note an important distinction between bosonic and fermionic quasi-free semigroups: Namely the spectrum of fermionic X_f (which determines all the decay rates of Liouvillean relaxation [9, 10]) can only lie on the positive real-side of the complex plane (since $M_r \geq 0$, see Lemma 2.3 of Ref. [10]), whereas in the bosonic case the spectrum of X_b (10) (which again determines all the Liouvillean decay rates [11]) is not constrained, so the Liouvillean evolution becomes unstable—describing indefinite pumping-in of energy—when some of the eigenvalues of X_b attain negative real part. It is also easy to identify pure steady states, corresponding to the *dark states* of Refs. [3, 5], but here applied to the free setting: They have covariance matrices for which all eigenvalues of $(\sigma\gamma_b)^2$ or of $(\gamma_f)^2$ are all equal to 1 in the bosonic and fermionic case, respectively [12].

Translationally invariant systems and symbols. Next, we consider explicit translational invariance on $j \in \mathbb{Z}_L^d$, so $n = L^d$, and subsequently take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. In case of finite systems, the yet to be defined symbol will be defined over a discrete *quasi-momentum* space and the analysis does not apply: Hence, in all that follows, divergences of correlation lengths manifest genuine quantum many-body effects. Due to translational invariance we may write

$$(H_\eta)_{(\nu,j),(\nu',j')} = \{h_\eta(j - j')\}_{\nu,\nu'}, \quad \nu, \nu' = 1, 2,$$

and similarly for the Lindblad operators L_μ introducing vectors $(l_\mu)_\nu$ on $\mathbb{Z}_L^d$. Then we may define *the Hamiltonian and the Lindbladian symbols*, as, respectively, 2×2 matrix valued and 2-dimensional vector valued functions on $\mathbb{T}^d = [-\pi, \pi)^d \ni \varphi$

$$\tilde{h}_\eta(\varphi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_\eta(j) e^{-i\varphi \cdot j}, \quad (\tilde{l}_\mu)_\nu(\varphi) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (l_\mu)_{(\nu,j)} e^{-i\varphi \cdot j}.$$

Note that l_μ here denotes only those Lindblad vectors which directly couple to the site $j = 0$ of the lattice, all the other Lindblad vectors are obtained by the translations (as in Eq.

(2)). By the convolution theorem, the bath matrix M of Eq. (8) is then also circulant, with a symbol

$$\tilde{m}(\varphi) = \sum_{\mu} \tilde{l}_{\mu}(\varphi) \otimes \overline{\tilde{l}_{\mu}(\varphi)},$$

which will be, for convenience, decoupled into a real and imaginary part $\tilde{m}_r(\varphi) = (\tilde{m}(\varphi) + \tilde{m}^T(-\varphi))/2$ and $\tilde{m}_i(\varphi) = -i(\tilde{m}(\varphi) - \tilde{m}^T(-\varphi))/2$, respectively.

Furthermore, if the stationary point of the dynamical semigroup (2) is unique, it is also translationally invariant: This follows immediately from a group twirl with shifts τ_j forming a unitary representation: The steady state covariance matrix γ_{η} is also (block) circulant. Then the condition for the fixed point of (9), namely the Lyapunov-Sylvester equation [13] $X_{\eta}^T \gamma_{\eta} + \gamma_{\eta} X = Y_{\eta}$ maps to a simple 2×2 matrix equation for the *covariance symbol*

$$\{\tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}(\varphi)\}_{\nu, \nu'} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \{\gamma_{\eta}\}_{(\nu, j), (\nu', 0)} \exp(-i\varphi \cdot j), \quad (12)$$

namely

$$\tilde{x}_{\eta}^T(-\varphi) \tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}(\varphi) + \tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}(\varphi) \tilde{x}_{\eta}(\varphi) = \tilde{y}_{\eta}(\varphi) \quad (13)$$

where for bosons $\tilde{x}_b = \sigma_2(2\tilde{h}_b + 2\tilde{m}_i)$, $\tilde{y}_b = 4\sigma_2^T \tilde{m}_r \sigma_2$ (where $\sigma_2 = i\sigma_y$), and for fermions $\tilde{x}_f = -2i\tilde{h}_f + 2\tilde{m}_r$, $\tilde{y}_f = 4\tilde{m}_i$ in direct consequence of (10,11). We note that Eq. (12) is a simple 2×2 Sylvester matrix equation (in fact a linear system of 4×4 equations for matrix elements of $\tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}$) for a given fixed quasi-momentum φ , and can be trivially solved. The real-space two-point correlation function (3) is then obtain by inverse Fourier transforming the covariance symbol

$$\gamma_{\eta}(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} d^d \varphi \tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}(\varphi) \exp(i\varphi \cdot r). \quad (14)$$

One-dimensional models. Clearly, for systems with short-range or finite-range interaction, the symbols $\tilde{h}_{\eta}$ and $\tilde{m}$, and hence $\tilde{x}_{\eta}$, are *holomorphic* on $\mathbb{C}^d$, therefore the solution $\tilde{\gamma}_{\eta}(\varphi)$ of (14) can have at most simple pole singularities, $\varphi^* \in \mathbb{C}^d$, determined by either of the following conditions

$$\beta_{\nu}(\varphi^*) + \beta_{\nu}(-\varphi^*) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_1(\varphi^*) + \beta_2(-\varphi^*) = 0$$

where $\beta_{1,2}(\varphi)$ denote the two eigenvalues of $\tilde{x}_{\eta}(\varphi)$. For the rest of the discussion we focus on one dimension $d = 1$. Then, if φ^* is an isolated pole singularity with minimal $|\text{Im} \varphi^*|$, then it takes straightforward complex analysis to show that $\exists C > 0$, such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\gamma_{\eta}(r)\|}{\exp(-|\text{Im} \varphi^*| |r|)} = C, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \xi^{-1} = |\text{Im} \varphi^*|. \quad (15)$$

This comprises the central result of our Letter. The criticality of the system is then signaled by the closest pole φ^* approaching the *real* circle $\mathbb{T}^1$. Clearly, due to continuity of $\tilde{x}(\varphi)$, this means that for a Bloch quasi-momentum $\varphi' = \text{Re} \varphi^*$, the eigenvalue of $\tilde{x}(\varphi)$, whose real part $1/\tau$ determines the relaxation time of the corresponding Liouvillian normal mode, can

be estimated as $1/\tau < C' |\text{Im} \varphi^*|$ where constant C' may depend on system parameters but not on φ^* . Thus the criticality (6) also implies a *critical slowing down* $\tau > C' \xi$. Note that this way of finding critical points is different from the usual approach in Hamiltonian problems, constituting a difference in how dissipative and non-dissipative systems are treated. Dynamical instabilities may occur and may be detected in this framework, but the divergent correlation lengths always reflects a true quantum many-body effect and can not be viewed as local dynamical instabilities.

For the fermionic case, it can also be shown that in case the pole arrives right down to the real torus $\mathbb{T}^d$, i.e. $\text{Im} \varphi^* = 0$, then the solution of Sylvester equation there has to vanish $\tilde{\gamma}_f(\varphi' = \varphi^*) = 0$ (a consequence of Lemma 2.5 of Ref.[10]), and hence algebraic decay of correlations is not achievable.

Example 1: Driven criticality for fermions. We conclude the discussion of the quasi-free case by providing explicit examples. An interesting example in the fermionic case in one dimension ($d = 1$) is provided by the fermionic model corresponding to a XY spin-1/2 chain with exchange couplings $J_x = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma)$, $J_y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Gamma)$ and transverse magnetic field B , by virtue of the Jordan-Wigner transformation (see, e.g., Ref. [14] for the exact description of the model). The Hamiltonian symbol is $h_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(B - \cos \varphi)\sigma_y + \frac{\Gamma}{2}(\sin \varphi)\sigma_x$. We first discuss the case of a *spatially incoherent*, on-site noise, where a single Lindblad operator per site is considered $L_j(g) = \varepsilon(f_j + f_j^{\dagger}) + \varepsilon i(f_j - f_j^{\dagger})e^{ig}$, where we find

$$\xi^{-1} = \text{Im} \varphi^* \sim \varepsilon^2 (|B| - 1)^2 + \varepsilon^4 \sin^2 g).$$

This means that we may have criticality as $g \rightarrow g_c = 0$ or $g_c = \pi$, only if the (noise-free) Hamiltonian XY model is already critical, namely if $|B| = 1$. Therefore, on-site noise cannot induce criticality. However, things change drastically when we consider two-site spatially coherent noise. For simplicity we again take a single Lindblad operator per site $L_j(g) = \varepsilon(f_j + f_j^{\dagger}) + \varepsilon(f_{j+1} + f_{j+1}^{\dagger})e^{ig}$. This term does not violate the parity of fermion number superselection rule [15] and defines valid and physically meaningful noise. In this case, our analysis yields a very simple expression for the covariance symbol

$$\gamma_f(\varphi) = \frac{\sin g \sin \varphi}{2(1 + \cos g \cos \varphi)} \mathbb{1}_2$$

which, quite astonishingly, does not depend on any of the hamiltonian parameters (Γ, B) at all! Now, we find a clear, noise induced criticality for $g_c = 0$ or $g_c = \pi$, with the inverse correlation length $\xi^{-1} = \text{arccosh}(1/\cos g)$, which close to the critical point can be estimated as $\xi \sim |g - g_c|^{-1/2}$ giving the critical exponent $\lambda = 1/2$, same as in the boundary-driven XY model [14], and also as for *ground states* of free bosons.

Example 2: Driven criticality for bosons. As a second example we consider, for $t, v \in \mathbb{R}$, a simple nearest neighbor hopping translationally invariant bosonic chain,

$$H = t \sum_j (b_j^{\dagger} b_{j+1} + b_{j+1}^{\dagger} b_j - (v + 2)b_j^{\dagger} b_j),$$

with Hamiltonian symbol $h_b(\varphi) = t(\cos \varphi - v)$, and on-site noise with a single Lindblad operator per site $L_j(g) = \varepsilon(b_j + b_j^\dagger) + \varepsilon i(b_j - b_j^\dagger)e^{ig}$ in analogy to the previous fermionic case. The eigenvalues of X_b , $\beta_{1,2} = 2\varepsilon^2 \sin g \pm 4it|v - \cos \varphi|^{1/2}$ signal *instability* of the Liouvillean fixed point (steady state) for $g \in (-\pi, 0)$ where $\text{Re } \beta_{1,2} < 0$. Following previous paragraphs, the covariance symbol reads

$$\gamma_b(\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sin g} + \frac{2t\varepsilon^2(v - \cos \varphi) \cos g}{z(\varphi)} & \frac{\varepsilon^4 \sin g \cos g}{z(\varphi)} \\ \frac{\varepsilon^4 \sin g \cos g}{z(\varphi)} & \frac{1}{\sin g} - \frac{2t\varepsilon^2(v - \cos \varphi) \cos g}{z(\varphi)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$z(\varphi) = 4t^2(v - \cos \varphi)^2 + \varepsilon^4 \sin^2 g$. The pole singularity is determined by a zero of $z(\varphi^*) = 0$, $\varphi^* = \arccos(v \pm i\varepsilon \sin g/2t)$. Two regimes emerge: (i) If one has an *optical gap* $|v| > 1$, $\text{Im } \varphi^*$ is bounded from below independently of g and no noise-induced criticality occurs. (ii) In the *acoustic regime* $|v| \leq 1$, yet, we may expand $\text{Im } \varphi^*$ in $\sin g$ and obtain

$$\xi^{-1} = |\text{Im } \varphi^*| \approx (\varepsilon/(2t(1 - v^2)^{1/2}))|\sin g|,$$

giving the critical points $g_c = 0, \pi$ and the critical exponent $\lambda = 1$. We note, however, that noise induced criticality of a bosonic system corresponds exactly with the instability thresholds discussed above. A similar analysis can be implemented also for two-site coherent noise.

Remarks on the relationship with matrix-product states as dark states. The works [3, 5] consider pure states as dark states of dissipative processes. Indeed, following the ideas of Ref. [5], quasi-local dissipative Markovian processes can be constructed with *matrix product states* [16] being the unique dark states. This insight can be combined with one of Ref. [17], where parent Hamiltonians are constructed having unique ground states, and which undergo a quantum phase transition of any order when parameters of the Hamiltonian are being altered. E.g., Ref. [17] considers $H = \sum_j \tau_j(h)$, where, with S being the vector of spin-1 matrices,

$$h = (2 + g^2)(S \otimes S) + 2(S \otimes S)^2 + 2(4 - g^2)(S_z \otimes 1)^2 + (g + 2)^2(S_z \otimes S_z)^2 + g(g + 2)\{S_z \otimes S_z, S \otimes S\},$$

with unique ground state for $g \neq g_c = 0$. Following the construction of Ref. [5], one can then identify quasi-local dissipative processes – in just the same sense as above – giving rise to critical exponent $\lambda = 1$ [18].

Steady states and entanglement area laws. We finally discuss the entanglement structure of steady states driven by dissipation and identify a connection to *entanglement area laws*. This connection is particularly manifest in the case of free bosonic systems. Since their steady states are usually mixed, a genuine entanglement measure, and not the entropy of a reduced state, has to be applied. We bound these entanglement measures of a region A distinguished from the rest of a one-dimensional chain L , such as the *distillable entanglement*, from above by the logarithmic negativity [19], defined for a state ρ as $E_N(\rho) = \log_2 \|\rho^\Gamma\|_1$, where ρ^Γ denotes the partial transpose of ρ with respect to the degrees of freedom of A . The state being Gaussian, the covariance matrix of ρ^Γ

is given by $\gamma_b^\Gamma = P\gamma_b P$, where $P = \mathbb{1}_n \oplus Q$ with Q being the diagonal matrix whose main diagonal elements are -1 when a degree of freedom is associated with A and 1 otherwise. The logarithmic negativity can in turn be computed from the symplectic eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}$ of γ_b^Γ , given by the singly counted positive square roots of the eigenvalues of the matrix $K = (\sigma)^{1/2} \gamma_b^\Gamma i \sigma \gamma_b^\Gamma (-\sigma)^{1/2}$. Using a bound reminding of one established in Ref. [20], one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \log_2 \|\rho^\Gamma\|_1 &= \sum_j \log_2 \max(1, \lambda_j^{-1}) \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j^{-1} - 1| \\ &= \|K^{-1/2} - \mathbb{1}_{2n}\|_1 \leq \|K^{-1/2} - \mathbb{1}_{2n}\|_{l_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{l_1}$ adds all absolute values of all matrix entries. From this, one can see that whenever entries of $\gamma_b(r)$ are exponentially decaying away from the main diagonal—as they always do in the models considered here—one encounters an upper bound that does not depend on the number of sites of A . Hence all steady states of the considered one-dimensional bosonic models satisfy an entanglement area law.

Summary and outlook. In this work, we introduced the concept of critical exponents of quantum many-body systems driven by quantum noise. We identified scaling laws reminding of the theory of criticality for ground states of local quantum many-body models. At the heart of our discussion is a theory of driven free models—providing an important simple class of models for which all features of criticality can be established analytically, reminding of the theory of ground state criticality for free models. It is the hope that this work contributes to paving the ground for a systematic study of preparation of complex states by dissipation and quantum noise.

Acknowledgements. We warmly thank S. Diehl for inspiring discussions and feedback on the manuscript and the EU (Qessence, Compas, Minos), the EURYI scheme, and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support.

-
- [1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum phase transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
 - [2] M. Vojta, Rep. Prog. Phys. **66**, 2069 (2003).
 - [3] S. Diehl, A. Micheli, A. Kantian, B. Kraus, H. P. Büchler, and P. Zoller, Nat. Phys. **4**, 878 (2008).
 - [4] F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, and J. I. Cirac, Nat. Phys. **5**, 633 (2009).
 - [5] B. Kraus, H. P. Büchler, S. Diehl, A. Kantian, M. Micheli, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 042307 (2008).
 - [6] S. Diehl, A. Tomadin, A. Micheli, R. Fazio, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 015702 (2010); S. Diehl, W. Yi, A. J. Daley, and P. Zoller, ibid. **105**, 227001 (2010); A. Tomadin, S. Diehl, and P. Zoller, arXiv:1011.3207.
 - [7] A. R. Its and V. E. Korepin, J. Stat. Phys. **137**, 1014 (2009); B.-Q. Jin and V. E. Korepin, ibid. **116**, 79 (2004); J. Eisert and M. Cramer, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 042112 (2005); P. Calabrese and F. H. L. Essler, arXiv:1006.3420.
 - [8] M. Cramer, J. Eisert, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 220603 (2007).
 - [9] T. Prosen, New J. Phys. **10**, 043026 (2008).

- [10] T. Prosen, J. Stat. Mech. P07020 (2010).
- [11] T. Prosen and T. H. Seligman, J. Phys. A **43**, 392004 (2010).
- [12] To provide a complete classification of free dark states constitutes an interesting further perspective.
- [13] R. H. Bartels and G. W. Stewart, Comm. ACM **15**, 820 (1972).
- [14] T. Prosen and I. Pižorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 105701 (2008).
- [15] Only when viewed as a spin model, the noise becomes non-local as Jordan-Wigner strings arise.
- [16] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele, and R. F. Werner, Commun. Math. Phys. **144**, 443 (1992); D. Perez-Garcia, F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, and J. I. Cirac, Quant. Inf. Comp. **7**, 401 (2007).
- [17] M. M. Wolf, G. Ortiz, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 110403 (2007).
- [18] This argument as such does not provide a bound to the spectral gap dictating the rate of convergence to this stationary point.
- [19] J. Eisert, PhD thesis (Potsdam, February 2001); G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032314 (2002); M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 090503 (2005).
- [20] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 277 (2010); M. B. Plenio, J. Eisert, J. Dreissig, and M. Cramer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 060503 (2005).